

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
AT&T Corp.,)	
)	File Nos. E-95-7, E-99-15S,
Complainant,)	E-99-12P, and E-99-14P
)	
v.)	
)	
Bell Atlantic-Pennsylvania, Inc.,)	
Bell Atlantic-Washington, D.C., Inc.)	
Bell Atlantic-Maryland, Inc.,)	
Bell Atlantic-Virginia, Inc.,)	
Bell Atlantic-West Virginia, Inc.,)	
Bell Atlantic-Delaware, Inc.,)	
Bell Atlantic-New Jersey, Inc.,)	
)	
)	
Defendants.)	
AT&T Corp.,)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	File Nos. E-95-9, E-99-15S,
v.)	E-99-12-P, and E-99-14-P
)	
New England Telephone and Telegraph)	
Company and New York Telephone)	
Company)	
Defendants)	
)	
)	
)	

ORDER

Adopted: March 14, 2000

Released: March 15, 2000

By the Chief, Market Disputes Resolution Division, Enforcement Bureau:

1. This matter comes before the Commission on the Joint Motion of AT&T Corp., and Bell Atlantic-Pennsylvania, Inc., Bell Atlantic-Washington, D.C. Inc., Bell Atlantic-Maryland, Inc., Bell Atlantic-Virginia, Inc., Bell Atlantic-West Virginia, Inc., Bell Atlantic-Delaware, Inc., Bell Atlantic-New Jersey, Inc., New England Telephone and Telegraph Company and New York Telephone Company (collectively, "Bell Atlantic") to Dismiss With Prejudice ("Joint Motion").

2. Although the above-captioned proceedings are consolidated and involve numerous carriers, the Joint Motion pertains exclusively to the proceedings between AT&T Corp. and Bell Atlantic. The above-captioned formal complaints, supplemental complaints for damages, and petitions for reconsideration address Bell Atlantic's application of carrier common line charges for certain optional calling services.

3. We are satisfied that dismissing the complaints and the petitions for reconsideration with prejudice will serve the public interest by promoting the private resolution of disputes and by eliminating the need for further litigation and the expenditure of further time and resources of the parties and of this Commission.

4. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), 201(b), and 208 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), 154(j), and 208, and the authority delegated in sections 0.111 and 0.311 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.111, 0.311, that the parties' Joint Motion To Dismiss With Prejudice IS GRANTED.

5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above-captioned complaints (*i.e.*, E-95-7 and E-95-9) ARE DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE and that this proceeding is TERMINATED.

6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above-captioned supplemental complaints for damages (*i.e.*, 99-15S) solely as they pertain to AT&T's claims against Bell Atlantic ARE DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE and that the supplemental complaint for damages proceeding between AT&T and Bell Atlantic is TERMINATED.¹

7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above-captioned petitions for reconsideration (*i.e.*, E-99-12P and E-99-14P) solely as they bear upon the above-captioned formal complaints (*i.e.*, E-95-7 and E-95-9) ARE DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE and that the petition for reconsideration proceeding between AT&T and Bell Atlantic is TERMINATED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Glenn T. Reynolds
Chief, Market Disputes Resolution Division
Enforcement Bureau

¹

The claims in those cases by AT&T against entities other than Bell Atlantic and Ameritech remain pending. See *AT&T v. Illinois Bell Telephone Co., et al.*, File Nos. E-95-7 and E-99-15S, DA 99-2045 (Common Carrier Bureau, rel. Sept. 30, 1999).